

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/972,855 10/10/2001 Yoshiaki Sugizaki 04329.2686 5564 7590 09/24/2003 Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow **EXAMINER** Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P. IM, JUNGHWA M 1300 I Street, N.W. Washingon, DC 20005-3315 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2811

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5 pr 😘	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/972,855	SUGIZAKI, YOSHIAKI
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Junghwa M. Im	2811
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.         ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).</li> </ul>		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the		
application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly		
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3, 12, 13 and 19-25</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection ground(s) for the final action is maintained. (1) for claim 21, a portion (the bump 8-4 or 8-3) of the connecting terminals of Sakui clearly is arranged on the center area of the chip, and Vss (13a) in general, denoted as a ground potential is connected to the bump 8-3 and for the bump 8-4, chip enable signal (CE) is connected to the bump 8-4, therefore, it is inherent that power supply has to be APPLIED for a proper operation. (2) for claim 3 and 20, first, claims recite either the first connecting terminals or the second connecting terminals IS coupled to a assembly board. Since "coupling" simply means "passing a signal from one stage to another", therefore, any one of connecting terminals has to be coupled to an assembly board in a final stage. Second, claims recite "ONE of the first connecting terminals AND the second connecting terminals are... to the assembly board" which implies a flip chip configuration which is taught by Komiyama with multiple chip arrangement. Third, claims clearly recite " the average... of the one of the first connecting terminal and the second connecting terminals..." This indicates that one of the connecting terminal has fewer bumps than the other. Furthermore, Applicant argues "it is impossible to have the connecting terminal layers with the five bumps connected to an assembly board." because of high amount of the bumps. Yet, Figure 24 of Applicant elected invention shows more than five bumps are faced (allegedly connected) to an assembly board.

Sara Crane Primary Examiner